

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,739	10/27/2000	Peyman Hojabri	68135469-205220 (P04329 P	_ `	
26689	7590 09/24/2003				
WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON			EXAMINER		
225 WEST V CHICAGO,	VACKER DRIVE IL 60606		LEE, MICHAEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614	8	
		DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	09/698,739	HOJABRI, PEYMAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	M. Lee	2614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 J	uly 2003 .				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,9,12-20 and 24</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8, 10-11, 21-23, 25, 26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	ic priority under 35 0.3.0. 99 120	rand/01 12 L			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Process Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Information (PTO-152)  Other:					



Art Unit: 2614

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 6, 7, 8, 21, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryu (6,226,047).

Regarding claim 6, Ryu discloses an analog multiplexed signal containing controlled video image and OSD information (Figure 1, elements 110, 109, 108). In Ryu, the analog video signal L11 is processed at the transmitter end or at the video processing circuit 108. The processing operations inherently include independent contrast and gain controls. Such functions are found in all television processing circuits. Ryu further shows an OSD signal which is also inherently amplified by so means. The composite video signal in Ryu also includes vertical and horizontal blanking signals

Art Unit: 2614

which are being added to the active video signal at the transmission end. All these signals at the output of the mixing circuit 110 are in a time division multiplexed form.

Regarding claim 7, in addition of rejection to claim 6, Ryu further shows a transmission wire (output of the mixing circuit 110) which meets the signal medium as claimed.

Regarding claims 8, 21, 22, and 23, see the similar rejections as set forth above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 12, 25, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryu (6,226,047).

Regarding claims 10, 12, 25, and 26, Ryu discloses all the features of the instant invention as set forth above except the recording medium as claimed. Recording video signals on a recording medium is well known in the art. For instance, video tape recorders or videodisc recorders enable video signals to be recorded for later viewing. This has greatly enhanced the viewing flexibility of a viewer. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include a recording medium into Ryu so that the current received television program can be recorded for later watch.

Art Unit: 2614

2

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-5, 9, 12-20, and 24 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number is **703-305-4743**.

Art Unit: 2614

2

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **John Miller**, can be reached at **703-305-4795**.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

M. Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2614

September 10, 2003